UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR .	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	·CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,520	10/16/2003	Shogo Yamamoto	2860.0721-02	5065
7590 02/23/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
- 4	10/685,520	YAMAMOTO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		I I	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION OF R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON estatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 November 2006.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-82</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>82</u> is/are withdra	•		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>67-81</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing((s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
attachment(s)			
Nation (S) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: ____

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 67, 69-77 and 80-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiao et al, either alone, or further in view of Japanese 2001-30307. While applicant's amendment has obviated the 102 rejection, it is respectfully submitted that the claims would now properly be rejected over Shiao et al, either alone, or further in combination with newly applied Japanese -307. In truth, the only aspect now set forth in claim 67 that is lacking in Shiao et al is that the third path width—ie, the product flow path width-- is smaller than the first path width. Note that it is not even clear from the drawings in Shiao et al that this limitation is not met, although it would appear that it is not. However, it is respectfully submitted to have been an obvious design choice for the mold dependent on the exact size of the lens to be molded and the size of the sprue section 8 employed based on flow characteristics of the resin. At any rate, Japanese -307 has been additionally applied to teach an injection mold wherein the sprue portion 10 has a wider base (and larger diameter, or flow path) than the flow path in the final article forming portion 40. The abstract of Japanese -307 discloses that such an arrangement allows a melt with a low viscosity to flow smoothly. One of ordinary skill, desiring to obtain a smooth flow in an injection mold, would have modified the sprue design of Shiao et al to that taught in Japanese -307.

Art Unit: 1732

2.Claims 68, 78 and 79 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiao et al in view of Fukuda et al (see 6 in Figs. 4 and 5) for reasons of record.

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 3

While applicant's amendment has overcome the 102 rejection, it is respectfully submitted that the instant claims would nevertheless still be rejected under 103, using Shiao et al either alone or further in combination with Japanese -307. Applicant's chief argument is that since the claims are not anticipated by Shiao et al, then they must be patentable thereover. This kind of reasoning is not agreed with and it is respectfully submitted that the instant claims are obvious over the prior art for the reasons given.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/685,520

Art Unit: 1732

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot February 16, 2007

Mathieu D. Vargot **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1732

2/16/07